



A Cross Cultural Understanding of English-Speaking Cultures: British, American, Australian, and Canadian Perspectives

Nur Indah Ramadhani Nasution¹

Sekolah Tinggi Agama Islam Negeri Mandailing Natal

Indah05.1510@gmail.com

Suadi²

Sekolah Tinggi Agama Islam Negeri Mandailing Natal

suadi@stain-madina.ac.id

Correspondent email: Indah05.1510@gmail.com

Abstrak

History Artikel:
Diterima 1 Mei 2026
Direvisi 15 Mei 2026
Diterima 30 Mei 2026
Tersedia online 1 Juni 2026

The findings reveal that each culture possesses its unique communication techniques, shaped by its social and historical context. American culture values directness, originality, and efficiency in communication, whereas British culture prioritises politeness and indirectness. Canadian culture is a mixture of civility and multicultural awareness, but Australian culture is characterised by informality, humour and equality. Such differences have a real impact on how people use English in everyday life, including how they communicate their ideas, make requests, and relate to others. Ignoring cultural context when using language might lead to misunderstandings. Therefore, attaining effective communication requires cultural awareness. This study emphasises how crucial it is to incorporate cultural awareness into English language instruction to foster intercultural competency. In conclusion, English is not culturally neutral, and being aware of cultural differences can enhance communication in international settings.

Kata kunci:

Intercultural Communication, Language and Culture, Learning English, Cultural Awareness, Communication Styles, and Cross-Cultural Understanding

INTRODUCTION

The close relationship between language and culture becomes particularly evident when using English as an international language across diverse cultural contexts. Many cultural backgrounds, not just one, influence the English language, particularly from countries like the United States, Canada, Australia, and Britain. The cultural values and social standards of each of these societies have contributed to the development of unique communication techniques.

British culture is associated with formality, indirectness and politeness. In contrast, American society places a premium on individual expression, clarity, and directness. Canadian culture is characterised by politeness and intercultural sensitivity, while Australian culture is known for informality, humour, and egalitarian beliefs. These cultural differences shape the way we convey meaning in communication. Cultural awareness is crucial, as even grammatically perfect English can lead to misunderstandings.

Earlier research has emphasised the close connection between language and culture. Language is a reflection of cultural reality as well as a means of communication, according to Kramsch (1998). In a similar vein, Byram (1997) points out the importance of intercultural communicative competence in attaining successful international communication. Cultural context affects how messages are understood, especially in high-context and low-context communication, according to Hall (1976). Hofstede (2001) also argues that cultural norms, such as individuality, significantly shape communication methods.

These views align with the notion that learning English involves an understanding of cultural diversity. Thus, the aim of the study is to identify the cultural features of American, British, Australian, and Canadian societies and analyse the influence of these differences on the use of language in everyday communication.

METHODOLOGY

This qualitative descriptive research design explores the cultural differences in language use among British, American, Australian, and Canadian societies. A qualitative approach is suitable, as it involves uncovering meanings, communication styles, and social behaviours instead of numerical facts. Qualitative research is useful for understanding social and cultural processes (Creswell, 2014). The information was gathered by means of a literature search involving scholarly books, journal articles and credible internet sources on English-speaking cultures and intercultural communication. Additional information was collected from cross-cultural understanding (CCU) teaching resources and classroom discussions.

Data was gathered through documentation, and the relevant sources were selected, classified, and analysed. The researcher focused on identifying important cultural characteristics, such as social conventions, communication styles, and etiquette. The processes used in data analysis were data reduction, data classification, and data interpretation. To ensure validity by comparing multiple references to increase the dependability of the results, the study used source triangulation as recommended by Denzin (1978).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results reveal significant cultural differences in the ways of communicating across the four nations. Such differences mirror broader cultural norms that influence language use and social interaction.

British people tend to be polite and indirect in their communication. Speakers often use hedging language such as “perhaps you might consider” to maintain harmony. This sentence is an example of how this culture values politeness and respect. American communication, on the other hand, is more direct and explicit. People speak with confidence and clarity, showing a tremendous sense of individualism. Hofstede (2001) contends that individualistic cultures foster self-expression, which manifests in their communication style.

Australian culture is renowned for its relaxed, informal style of communication. They regularly use slang, humour and informal language. Egalitarian ideals that reduce social hierarchy encourage more egalitarian and informal communication. British etiquette and Canadian communication are similar, but there is also a role for multiculturalism. Canadians are tolerant of cultural diversity and often use polite phrases, such as “please” and “sorry”.

These cultural differences may lead to misunderstandings in cross-cultural communication. British indirectness can seem confusing to Americans, and American directness can seem impolite in British contexts. Cultural differences often lead to misinterpretations of messages, as Gudykunst (2003) points out. Similarly, Samovar et al. (2010) point out the deep-seated cultural values in communication methods.

In conclusion, the findings reveal the extent of the differences in the use of the English language in cultures and emphasise the necessity of cultural competence in communication.

CONCLUSION

The research findings reveal that cultural differences play a crucial role in the use of English within American, British, Australian, and Canadian societies. Each culture possesses its own unique communication styles, shaped by historical, traditional, and societal values. These findings support the strong connection between language and its cultural backdrop. Overlooking cultural differences can result in miscommunication. Therefore, fostering intercultural competence requires integrating cultural awareness into English language education.

Students who are aware of cultural contexts are more likely to avoid misunderstandings and communicate effectively in global situations. In summary, to understand English, one must have knowledge of the language and an understanding of culture in order to communicate successfully in everyday, professional and academic contexts.

REFERENCES

- Byram, M. (1997). *Teaching and assessing intercultural communicative competence*. Multilingual Matters. <https://doi.org/10.21832/9781853593390>
- Brown, H. D. (2007). *Principles of language learning and teaching* (5th ed.). Pearson Education. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780133925852>
- Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (4th ed.). Sage Publications. <https://doi.org/10.5539/elt.v12n5p40>
- Crystal, D. (2003). *English as a global language* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511486999>
- Deardorff, D. K. (2006). Identification and assessment of intercultural competence. *Journal of Studies in International Education*, 10(3), 241–266. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1028315306287002>
- Denzin, N. K. (1978). *The research act: A theoretical introduction to sociological methods*. McGraw-Hill. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315134543>
- Fought, C. (2006). *Language and ethnicity*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511791109>
- Gudykunst, W. B. (2003). *Cross-cultural and intercultural communication*. Sage Publications. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781452231693>
- Hall, E. T. (1976). *Beyond culture*. Anchor Press/Doubleday. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2065807>
- Hofstede, G. (2001). *Culture's consequences: Comparing values, behaviors, institutions, and organizations across nations* (2nd ed.). Sage Publications. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781452232119>
- Kramsch, C. (1998). *Language and culture*. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/53.1.80>

- Liddicoat, A. J., & Scarino, A. (2013). *Intercultural language teaching and learning*. Wiley-Blackwell. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118482070>
- Moran, P. R. (2001). *Teaching culture: Perspectives in practice*. Heinle & Heinle. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3588433>
- Risager, K. (2006). *Language and culture: Global flows and local complexity*. Multilingual Matters. <https://doi.org/10.21832/9781853598609>
- Samovar, L. A., Porter, R. E., & McDaniel, E. R. (2010). *Communication between cultures* (7th ed.). Wadsworth. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781305646025>
- Whorf, B. L. (1956). *Language, thought, and reality: Selected writings of Benjamin Lee Whorf*. MIT Press. <https://doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/3630.001.0001>